Docusign Envelope ID: E1BBCAD3-3295-811F-8259-014A464ABAC7

MINUTES OF MEETING
CREEKSIDE
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

A regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Creekside Community Development District was held on Thursday, August 13, 2026, at 2:00 p.m. at W. Executive Suites, LLC, 1860 SW Fountainview Blvd., Suite 100, Port St. Lucie, Florida.

Present and constituting a quorum were:

Tim Gee	Chairman
Wyatt Rudd	Assistant Secretary
Michael Folstein	Assistant Secretary
Dawn Litrenta	Assistant Secretary

Also present were:

Andressa Hinz Philippi	District Manager
Ashley Ligas	District Counsel (by phone)
Jonathan Gomes	District Engineer (by phone)

FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS Roll Call

Ms. Hinz Philippi called the meeting to order and call the roll.

SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS Organizational Matters

A. Consideration of Appointment of Supervisor to Unexpired Term(s) of Office – Seat 3 (11/2026)
B. Oath of Office for Newly Appointed Supervisor(s)
C. Electing Officer(s)

Ms. Hinz Philippi: The next would be organizational matters, we have a seat open, seat #3 if there is anyone at this time that you guys wanted to appoint we can do that, and if not we can table this.

Mr. Gee: We can table that.

Ms. Hinz Philippi: Alright.

Docusign Envelope ID: E1BBCAD3-3295-811F-8259-014A464ABAC7

THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS Approval of the Minutes of the June 11, 2026 Meeting

Ms. Hinz Philippi: The next item would be approval of the minutes of June 11, 2026. If you have any changes, corrections, or deletions I can take those, if not, a motion to approve would be in order.

> On MOTION by Ms. Litrenta seconded by Mr. Gee with all in favor, the Minutes of June 11, 2026 Meeting were approved.

FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS Public Hearing to Adopt the Fiscal Year 2027 Budget

A. Motion to Open the Public Hearing

Ms. Hinz Philippi: The next item would be the public hearing to adopt the fiscal year 2027 budget. I need a motion to open the public hearing.

> On MOTION by Ms. Litrenta seconded by Mr. Folstein with all in favor, opening the Public Hearing was approved.

B. Public Comment and Discussion

C. Consideration of Resolution #2026-07 Annual Appropriation Resolution

Ms. Hinz Philippi: Just for the record we have no public here for any comments. Do I have any discussion from the Board?

Ms. Litrenta: If I have something I'd like to bring up do I bring it up after the meeting?

Ms. Hinz Philippi: You can bring it up under Supervisor's requests.

Ms. Litrenta: So, I can do that after this, or after we go through the agenda?

Ms. Hinz Philippi: Yes, under Supervisor's requests you can talk about that.

Ms. Litrenta: Ok, thank you.

Ms. Hinz Philippi: Alright, so if we don't have any discussion on the budget then I would ask for a motion to approve resolution #2026-07 the annual appropriation resolution.

> On MOTION by Mr. Folstein seconded by Mr. Gee with all in favor, Resolution #2026-07 the Annual Appropriation Resolution was approved.

Docusign Envelope ID: E1BBCAD3-3295-811F-8259-014A464ABAC7

D. Consideration of Resolution #2026-08 Levy of Non Ad Valorem Assessments

Ms. Hinz Philippi: The next item would be resolution #2026-08 to levy the Non Ad Valorem Assessments. You guys are familiar with that, it's just our agreement to collect on the taxes, I need a motion to approve that.

> On MOTION by Mr. Gee seconded by Mr. Folstein with all in favor, Resolution #2026-08 Levy of Non Ad Valorem Assessments was approved.

E. Motion to Close the Public Hearing

Ms. Hinz Philippi: Alright, I need a motion to close the public hearing.

> On MOTION by Mr. Folstein seconded by Mr. Gee with all in favor, closing the Public Hearing was approved.

FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS Consideration of:

A. Resolution #2026-09 Contribution Resolution, Series 2026

Ms. Hinz Philippi: Now we have a section for consideration of resolutions, the first one is resolution #2026-09 and Ashley you want to give a run down on these resolutions because we have a few of them here, 09, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 & 16.

Ms. Ligas: Yes. Ok, so let's start with 09, this is contribution resolution for the 2026 assessments. So, as part of the 2026 assessments there was a contribution obligation of $133,146 from the developers, DR Horton. When we acquired parcel C improvements in a total amount of $4.4 million and change, the District paid the developer $20 million in requisition 1 which left an unpaid balance, so therefore we are recognizing the $133,146 of that original unpaid balance to the 2026 contribution obligation, and I'd just be looking for a motion to approve.

> On MOTION by Mr. Gee seconded by Mr. Folstein with all in favor, Resolution #2026-09 Contribution Resolution, Series 2026 was approved.

B. Resolution #2026-10 Project Completion Resolution, Series 2026

Ms. Hinz Philippi: Alright, item B.

Ms. Ligas: Ok, this is resolution #2026-10 it's the project completion resolution for the parcel C & D project. This is part of the Series 2026 project that the bonds funded, and

Docusign Envelope ID: E1BBCAD3-3295-811F-8259-014A464ABAC7

so this is just declaring the project complete, finalizing the assessments, directing staff to handle any final real estate conveyances or bills of sale, and it includes the District engineer's certificate, a certificate from the District manager and a mutual release of obligation from DR Horton. I'd just be looking for a motion to approve.

Ms. Litrenta: So, that includes all the infrastructure, water lines, everything that's been completed in parcel B?

Mr. Gee: No, parcel was already accepted 10 or 12 years ago.

Ms. Litrenta: Ok.

Mr. Gee: So, this is for C & D the new ones.

Ms. Litrenta: Oh, this is the townhouses.

Mr. Gee: No the townhouse is parcel E, so C & D are all single families and those are I think 75' and 90' wide lots.

Ms. Litrenta: Ok, C & D, so that has nothing to do with B, ok, got it.

Ms. Hinz Philippi: Alright, we need a motion to approve.

> On MOTION by Mr. Gee seconded by Mr. Rudd with all in favor, Resolution #2026-10 Project Completion Resolution, Series 2026 was approved.

C. Resolution #2026-11 Authorizing DSRF #1, Related Requisition & Promissory Note, Series 2026

Ms. Ligas: Ok, so item C is resolution #2026-11 this is authorizing the release of the first release of the debt service reserve fund for the 2026 Series Bonds and if you go down it will explain release condition #1 which is that all the lots in our assessment area are developed and platted and in doing so we have that unpaid amount from the Series 2026 Bonds that I spoke about on the last resolution. After that contribution obligation there's still an unpaid amount of $1.2 million dollars, and so this would be setting up a release from the reserve funds for funds into the construction account that then can be requisitioned for that unpaid balance for the Series 2026 Bonds.

Ms. Hinz Philippi: I need a motion to approve.

> On MOTION by Mr. Gee seconded by Mr. Folstein with all in favor, Resolution #2026-11 Authorizing DSRF #1, Related Requisition & Promissory Note, Series 2026 was approved.

Docusign Envelope ID: E1BBCAD3-3295-811F-8259-014A464ABAC7

D. Resolution #2026-12 Authorizing DSRF #2, Related Requisition & Promissory Note, Series 2026

Ms. Ligas: Ok, item D is #2026-12 and this is the resolution authorizing release for #2 of the debt service reserve fund, the same scenario, there will still be an unpaid balance after the first release condition and so this similarly would paid that unpaid balance, it's for the release condition #2 which is that all lots have been constructed and received a certificate.

Ms. Hinz Philippi: Alright, I need a motion to approve.

> On MOTION by Mr. Folstein seconded by Mr. Rudd with all in favor, Resolution #2026-12 Authorizing DSRF #2, Related Requisition & Promissory Note, Series 2026 was approved.

E. Resolution #2026-13 Boundary Amendment Resolution

Ms. Ligas: Item E is resolution #2026-13 which is directing the Chairman and District staff to request the passage of an ordinance by the Board of County Commissioners of St. Lucie County amending the District boundaries, so this would just be authorizing them to take that action.

Ms. Hinz Philippi: Alright, I need a motion.

> On MOTION by Mr. Folstein seconded by Ms. Litrenta with all in favor, Resolution #2026-13 Boundary Amendment Resolution was approved.

F. Boundary Amendment Funding Agreement

Ms. Ligas: Item F is the boundary amendment funding agreement, and there are obviously some costs involved in going through the boundary amendment process with the county and this would be an agreement between the District and DR Horton covering the expenses for amending the boundaries.

> On MOTION by Mr. Gee seconded by Mr. Folstein with all in favor, Boundary Amendment Funding Agreement was approved.

Docusign Envelope ID: E1BBCAD3-3295-811F-8259-014A464ABAC7

G. Resolution #2026-14 Project Completion Resolution, Series 2006

Ms. Ligas: Item G is resolution #2026-14 this is the project completion resolution for the Series 2006 project declaring it complete and similarly it has authorization for any outstanding real estate conveyances, bills of sale and a certificate from the District engineer declaring that project complete.

> On MOTION by Mr. Folstein seconded by Mr. Rudd with all in favor, Resolution #2026-14 Project Completion Resolution, Series 2006 was approved.

H. Resolution #2026-15 Accepting Parcel D Property & Improvements

Ms. Ligas: Item H is resolution #2026-15, this is the acceptance of the property improvements for Creekside Plat No. 7, so this is detailed in the engineers report for assessment area 2, and this is just accepting the conveyance of the property and improvements.

Mr. Gee: Plat No. 7 I believe is parcel D, it's a 90' wide lot.

Ms. Litrenta: Ok.

> On MOTION by Mr. Gee seconded by Mr. Rudd with all in favor, Resolution #2026-15 accepting Parcel D Property & Improvements was approved.

I. Resolution #2026-16 Budget Amendment for Fiscal Year 2026

Ms. Hinz Philippi: The last one.

Ms. Ligas: And Andressa I don't know if you want to speak to this one, this is resolution #2026-16.

Ms. Hinz Philippi: Ok, yes.

Ms. Ligas: Ok.

Ms. Hinz Philippi: So, this is resolution #2026-16 budget amendment for the fiscal year 2026. We did go over our proposed budget so when we do that we have to reset the numbers and of course we do not have to increase but, we have to change in the line items to adjust and adapt the changes, we did this and a lot more money on attorney fees because of all the things we had going on with the bonds and with the other amendments, and with all that, so that was the big difference for us which was the attorney fees.

Docusign Envelope ID: E1BBCAD3-3295-811F-8259-014A464ABAC7

Ms. Litrenta: Are we trying to increase the CDD amounts?

Ms. Hinz Philippi: No.

Ms. Litrenta: Ok.

Mr. Gee: No, the line item amounts moved for the different buckets but the total stayed the same is what I heard, right?

Ms. Hinz Philippi: Yes.

Ms. Litrenta: Ok.

Ms. Hinz Philippi: We did have to adjust the amounts because we did spend more on attorney fees, that's why we're approving resolution #2026-16 that amends the budget for the fiscal year 2026.

Ms. Litrenta: Is that the normal to spend that much on attorney fees? Do you know how much was spent on attorney fees?

Ms. Hinz Philippi: Yes, I do. I think we spent around $84,000.

Ms. Litrenta: Compared to I guess the year before is what we're doing?

Ms. Hinz Philippi: Yes, we had $30,000 the year before.

Ms. Litrenta: Ok, so what caused that increase, do you know?

Ms. Hinz Philippi: All the work that we were doing for the bonds and the re-platting. I Ashley do you have rough estimate of what the amounts were that we're seeing on the increase here, and I think what I said was correct or do you have a better explanation for that?

Ms. Ligas: I do not but, I know there was the work out with the bonds from the default and so I think it's attributed to that but, I would have to speak Jere about it and get a more detailed answer.

Ms. Hinz Philippi: Ok, and I can get the invoices to you too, if you want the invoices because Jere describes exactly what was worked on. I think the biggest thing here was the default of the bonds that they had to work on so you had to redesign what we're going to do with the negotiation with the default of the bond and now everything looks good on that side.

Mr. Gee: That's because it sat for so long, so the CDD is old enough that when it started and then work kind of just stopped, I think the bonds went into default at that point and then when we picked it back up and bought the property we had to go through the default process to get the people with the bonds back up to where they should be.

Docusign Envelope ID: E1BBCAD3-3295-811F-8259-014A464ABAC7

Ms. Hinz Philippi: Yes, and you had to talk to all the parties and the bond counsel and that involves a lot of steps.

Mr. Gee: I think we had some lengthy discussions on this in previous meetings too now that I recall, as far as all the negotiations and I think we had a settlement with the bond default.

Ms. Hinz Philippi: Exactly.

Ms. Ligas: That's exactly it and you had to interface with the bond counsel, and the trustee to work out all the details.

Mr. Gee: So, it's probably safe to say that this is like a one-time year hike which is why you're probably not adjusting the overall budget because it's going to fall back down.

Ms. Hinz Phillipi: So, this was a one-time that we had to deal with all these negotiations and the attorney had to be involved in all of that because we needed to follow the procedures and we don't foresee that to continue so that's why we're not changing the budget.

Ms. Litrenta: Ok.

Ms. Hinz Philippi: Alright so I need a motion to approve resolution #2026-16.

On MOTION by Mr. Folstein seconded by Mr. Gee with all in favor, Resolution #2026-16 Budget Amendment for Fiscal Year 2026 was approved.

SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS Staff Reports

Ms. Hinz Philippi: The next item would be staff reports, Ashley, do you have anything for us?

A. Attorney

Ms. Ligas: I don't have anything additional, Jere has a call set about the land acquisition and he'll update the Board after there's more information there, other than that, nothing further unless you have any questions.

Ms. Hinz Philippi: Alright, any questions?

Mr. Gee: No.

Docusign Envelope ID: E1BBCAD3-3295-811F-8259-014A464ABAC7

B. Engineer

Ms. Hinz Philippi: The next item would be for the engineer, Jonathan.

Mr. Gomes: No updates to report at this time.

Ms. Hinz Philippi: Alright. Is your question related to the engineer?

Ms. Litrenta: Yes, I have question about an infrastructure in Pod B, plat 2, about belly bumps in a sewer line that the CDD, I know we're responsible for infrastructure that hasn't been turned over to the county, and I know there was a report that the county indicated that, do you know when the CDD plans to fix that before it turns over to residential?

Mr. Gomes: I don't know that there are plans to fix the lines in the sewers, so there's tolerances, so I think maybe what you're referring to is the dips that are in the sewer line.

Ms. Litrenta: Yes, there's too many belly bumps in the sewer, 28 that were address I think by Culpepper & Terpening back in 2016 and Mr. Gamble said something about the Tving and the camera about the belly bumps possibly leaking and that's in Pod B, plat 2, and is that going to be fixed by the CDD because it's prioritized now it's not being turned over to the county like Pod A.

Mr. Gomes: So, I don't believe there's a plan to transfer it to the county, so the dips, the county has a certain tolerance on what that dip can be, and it's basically measured in inches and it exceeded that tolerance so the county didn't accept ownership of the mains, and so that's why the ownership was kept with the CDD to go down and make those repairs now would be, from my understanding yes, there's a lot of dips and so that would be a fairly large undertaking and essentially the system now would just require additional maintenance.

Ms. Litrenta: So, what you're saying to me is even though it was addressed probably to the tune of $2 million which would cost to repair it in the 7 month timeframe, these belly bumps can cause cracks in the system and cause it to leak into the 10 mile creek could it not?

Mr. Gomes: I don't know, if it's just dips then it's basically a sag in the pipe, obviously if there's other, I mean if it's bad enough over time, I mean sure there's a possibility that it could crack but for it to leak all the way to the 10 mile creek where parcel B is located now, I guess anything is possible but, I wouldn't see that as a concern.

Ms. Litrenta: Would it no be a concern why the county didn't take it over and the reason they stated they didn't take it over is because that system with the belly bumps over time will develop the water and everything that's put down the sewers to develop those leaks

Docusign Envelope ID: E1BBCAD3-3295-811F-8259-014A464ABAC7

and that would cause a hardship for the residents in that area would it not, so if it gets turned over to the master then it becomes a HOA financial responsibility would it not?

Mr. Gomes: I was not told there were leaks, so it was a dip in the line, so if there's dips in the line, it's sag, and I mean pipes, there's manufacture tolerances in those lines, so as long as it's not cracked then it's not really compromised you just have low points where there's some stagnant water.

Ms. Litrenta: Ok, because I was under the impression when speaking to the county that those sags will turn into leaks based on the age of that sewer line, and help me to understand why it wouldn't be repaired, I mean if they came out with the report from Culpepper & Terpening back in 2016 indicating it should be repaired taken 7 months to do that why would it not have been considered for repair by the CDD?

Mr. Gomes: Andressa, do we have copies of those reports on file from Culpepper?

Ms. Hinz Philippi: I'm not sure, I would have to go back and take a look, I did a search when I talked to you and I did not find anything but, I can request from them if they were the CDD engineer at that time, they have to have that on file.

Ms. Litrenta: Just have the TV camera report.

Ms. Hinz Philippi: Yes, they have that on file so if I don't find it in my archives I can request it from them.

Ms. Litrenta: I just had an incident on West Cobblestone where a sewer backed up in the bathtub, the toilets and flooded the property inside with sewer and that's on West Cobblestone where it's the Pod C, and I know this is Pod B, and plat 2 but, what my concerns are is if this is kept the way it is and not repaired because I know the sags can be repaired, it will eventually crack and leak based on the age of these sewer lines and that's what the CDD is for infrastructure and I am speaking to the county and I know you should have a denial there from the county as to why it was denied and it was denied because it's compromised, it could face the risk of leaking and that would cause a hardship to the people in Creekside thus causing the CDD probably to go up annually for the cost in addition to the HOA's going up. So, that's why I was wonder why is wasn't fixed at the time it was addressed.

Mr. Gee: Let me ask this question, if the CDD were to go on and fix those dips, the CDD pays for it, right, and then that's a special assessment to the residents.

Docusign Envelope ID: E1BBCAD3-3295-811F-8259-014A464ABAC7

Ms. Litrenta: But the CDD should have paid for it before when it was addressed in 2016.

Mr. Gee: Well, I guess what I'm trying to say is, isn't it still coming from the CDD, I think we just need to look into the problem more.

Ms. Litrenta: Right, I guess what I'm trying to say Tim and I understand what you're saying, in 2016 it was addressed and the funds were there, why not correct the infrastructure at the time because as we all know in Florida when things start to get old, and it's like when we age, and I hate to say that about the infrastructure and I'm not a professional infrastructure person but, the only thing I'm trying to say is, over time those belly bumps when they gather all this and they start to leak it could become a EPA issue or Department of DEP issue, it can become a lot of issue if that breaks and what we're facing right now is the system is not 100% so why would the CDD at the time of it being put out there and the funds where there and not have fixed it from a CDD standpoint is what I'm saying.

Mr. Gee: Right, and that I can't answer, I can say from my professional experience the dips in sewer lines, there are no concerns that it would eventually leak, like crack at the bottom of the dip or something, that's not much of a concern, really the bigger concern for dips are potential for sediment to stick and then you get some plugging of your sewer line and then you have to clear them out. From the little bit I know about the dips in parcel B, I believe the biggest issue because St. Lucie County still is somewhat of a utility provider to Jonathan's point the tolerances, if you look, I think some are quarter inch back in 2016 and if you look today they're a half inch and if you look at everywhere else they're three quarter inch, and if you apply a different county standard there are no dips in the line. So, I think all that is to say that the issue in the dips while they may not meet the very strict standard of the county, they meet every other county standard so the risk of a plugging is very low too.

Ms. Litrenta: But is the CDD known to guarantee that?

Mr. Gee: The CDD already owns it so I guess what I'm trying to say is just fiscally thinking about this if we were to repair it, are we really getting the bang for our buck out of it.

Ms. Litrenta: And as you know at the meeting we went to yesterday for a different issue, some of the residents there are experiencing flushing issues of this drippling back in Pod B, plat 2, they're experiencing some flushing issues and I talked to several people, so that's my concern. As a resident of Creekside, that is my concern, and I think it needs to be looked into by the CDD and my question was if these repairs were noted back then, why

Docusign Envelope ID: E1BBCAD3-3295-811F-8259-014A464ABAC7

wasn't it done, and I know what you're saying about the county but, over time 2016 to 2026 and with the issues in the sewer lines filling up sediment or debris or whatever, in accordance with the research I kind of think it can eventually go into leaks, and I don't know if they're a quarter of an inch, or an inch, I don't know, and you're the expert on that because you do land development but, and we can assume it but we don't know how bad it is because they're telling you over there that they're having issue with flushing their toilets, things are gurgling, things are backing up and I think that's a concern because the sewer lines have been, and 28 belly bumps is a lot of belly bumps in the sewer lines.

Mr. Gee: So the issues for flow and stuff like that I think certainly the CDD could handle as far as like an inspection go out there, put a TV in the lines, take a look at everything, see how it all looks and that would fairly cheap to do I think, especially in the area that you're having the issues. I know for a fact, I think it was 3 years ago there was a plugged line in parcel B, we paid somebody to go out and fix it and what they told me was they found somebody's cell phone and that's what plugged it.

Ms. Litrenta: Well, that would be where it exploded onto the roadway.

Mr. Gee: Oh you remember that when that happened.

Ms. Litrenta: Yes, because at the time the person's who made the feces went all over the roadway, yes that was an issue then, and I think the issue when it starts flooding that, I know that they will connect Pod C to Pod B, there will be a shutoff from Pod B according to the county.

Mr. Gee: It's a separate system.

Ms. Litrenta: Yes, that's why it's a separate system.

Mr. Gee: No not necessarily because parcel E tied into B as well.

Ms. Litrenta: Yes, but with things tying in and have a little bit, and what I'm trying to say is they took Pod A, which is the first phase of Creekside, correct?

Mr. Gee: And I will say, I just recently dealt with this, the county and the utility company tried to come back and say they don't own it, and then we had to provide them the FDEP clearances where they assigned it, and they said, oh yes, that's ours, I'm sorry, so they're trying not to own it.

Ms. Litrenta: But did you get EPA clearance on Pod B, plat 2?

Mr. Gee: Yes, there's been FDEP clearance.

Ms. Litrenta: Not for the sewer lines.

Docusign Envelope ID: E1BBCAD3-3295-811F-8259-014A464ABAC7

Mr. Gee: You have to get the municipality to take it to get FDEP clearance, so to your point Parcel B sewer main, would not have FDEP clearance without the county signoff or maybe it does and they reached an agreement but, that's something we'd have to look into.

Ms. Litrenta: Yes, and they haven't taken it, so it means it hasn't been fixed, so if we could do that because it's concerning and would you know why somebody on West Cobblestone in a too little house would have the sewer back up into their property through the bathtub, through the toilets, right on that West Cobblestone place?

Mr. Gee: Is the house beside them having the same problem?

Ms. Litrenta: No.

Mr. Gee: Then they have a plug at their cleanout.

Ms. Litrenta: So, what is it is the cleanout.

Mr. Gee: Yes, they have a plug at their service point because if they're having the problem, everybody downstream would have an even worse problem.

Ms. Litrenta: Right.

Mr. Gee: And that's not a main actually, it's right at their tie in point.

Ms. Litrenta: Ok, got it, that's what that white thing is outside.

Mr. Gee: Yes.

Ms. Litrenta: Ok, and that's why I figured I'd pass this because that was in Pod A but, if we could do that for Pod B, I'm only trying to work with people in both community, I'm trying to get that infrastructure set where the county would take it up.

Mr. Gee: Do we have an idea of a street or something that has all the issues?

Ms. Litrenta: No, but I think Culpepper would know since they were the original engineer.

Mr. Gee: Well, I thought you said there were people having issues.

Ms. Litrenta: Well, I can get together with Shawn.

Mr. Gee: Yes, let's find out.

Ms. Litrenta: Ok, but the 28 belly bumps would be in the report.

Mr. Gee: Yes, that I think Jonathan and everyone else heard me.

Ms. Hinz Philippi: Yes, and we can request from Culpepper & Terpening and get that and send it to Jonathan. Now what we could do if the Board wants it could give direction to Jonathan to look into this and then maybe get somebody to inspect that and see what is the situation right now because it's been 10 years, so basically even if we get this, it's not real,

Docusign Envelope ID: E1BBCAD3-3295-811F-8259-014A464ABAC7

like it's 10 years later if it was in 2016 they put the camera in there, 10 years later it's not going to look the same.

Mr. Gee: No, you're right.

Ms. Hinz Philippi: But if the Board wants to direct Jonathan to go and get somebody there to inspect and put a camera in there we can do that.

Mr. Gee: I think we should learn more about the previous engineer's report before we spend money.

Ms. Litrenta: But didn't you get the report back in 2024, you and the Board of the CDD, wouldn't the CDD Board have gotten that from the county from Gamble, that guy?

Mr. Gee: 2024?

Ms. Litrenta: 26 of 2024.

Mr. Gee: I don't recall it, do you?

Ms. Litrenta: Wouldn't it be addressed to Board members or would it be addressed to the CDD?

Ms. Hinz Philippi: I'm sorry, I could find anything.

Mr. Gee: I'm just saying let's get the documents and wrap our head around it and before we spend money on something let's just make sure we understand the issues.

Ms. Litrenta: Right.

Ms. Hinz Philippi: Ok, so just work on getting this report to Jonathan.

Mr. Gee: And distribute it to the Board members.

Ms. Hinz Philippi: Yes, and the Board and understand what's going on there, ok.

Ms. Litrenta: And Jonathan is the engineer, right?

Ms. Hinz Philippi: Yes.

Ms. Litrenta: And that's with a different company though.

Mr. Gee: Right, he's with Kimley-Horn not Culpepper & Terpening.

Ms. Hinz Philippi: Yes, alright thank you. So, Jonathan we're going to be in touch for that.

C. Manager

1) Consideration of Proposed Fiscal Year 2027 Meeting Schedule

Ms. Hinz Philippi: On my side we have consideration of the proposed meeting schedule approval for 2027. So, we just have the same time at 2:00 p.m. at this location on the second Thursday of the month. Of course we advertise all the months just to save on

Docusign Envelope ID: E1BBCAD3-3295-811F-8259-014A464ABAC7

cost but, we only meet if we need to, so I just need a motion to approve the meeting schedule.

> On MOTION by Mr. Rudd seconded by Mr. Folstein with all in favor, accepting the proposed Fiscal Year 2027 Meeting Schedule was approved.

2) **Form 1 Financial Disclosure Due July 1, 2026 – *everyone has filed***
3) **Reminder to Complete Annual Ethics Training by December 31, 2026**
4) **Number of Registered Voters in the District – 420**
5) **Final – Approval of the FY2025-FY2026 Report Performance Measures and Standards as Required by Florida Statute 189.069**
6) **Consideration of the FY2026-FY2027 Performance Measures and Standards as Required by Florida Statute 189.069**

Ms. Hinz Philippi: Then Form 1 financial disclosure, everyone has filed, so that's good. Then the next item would be just to remind you guys to do your ethics training by December 31, 2026, so next year you can check the box and say I did my ethics training on your Form 1. Ashley I would ask you to please send an email to the Board members with the links the ones that are for free so they can have those in their inboxes, so they can take care of that. Just for the record, the number of registered voters is 420 in Creekside, and I'm not sure, I think we did already took a motion last meeting, I'm not sure, to set this location as the primary location, but I think we did.

Ms. Litrenta: We did.

Ms. Hinz Philippi: Ok, I just wanted to make sure we did that.

Ms. Litrenta: Yes, you did.

Ms. Hinz Philippi: Alright, and then I have the final approval of the 2026-2026 report for performance measures and standards. This is, as you guys already know these are things we already do, advertising, the audits and everything so I just need a motion to approve.

> On MOTION by Mr. Folstein seconded by Mr. Rudd with all in favor, final approval of the FY2025-FY2026 Report Performance Measures and Standards as required by Florida Statute 189.069 was approved.

Docusign Envelope ID: E1BBCAD3-3295-811F-8259-014A464ABAC7

Ms. Hinz Philippi: The next item would be consideration of the 2026-2027 performance measures and standards, and this is the proposed performance measures for the fiscal year starting October 1, 2026 to September 30, 2027, so I just need a motion to approve.

> On MOTION by Mr. Folstein seconded by Mr. Rudd with all in favor, accepting the FY2026-FY2027 Performance Measures and Standards as required by Florida Statute 189.069 was approved.

SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS Financial Reports

A. Acceptance of Check Register
B. Acceptance of Unaudited Financials

Ms. Hinz Philippi: The next item would be financial reports, tab A is acceptance of the check register, and tab B is acceptance of the unaudited financials. If you have any questions let me know, if not, a motion to approve would be in order.

> On MOTION by Mr. Rudd seconded by Mr. Folstein with all in favor, the Check Register and the Unaudited Financials were approved.

EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS Supervisors Requests and Audience Comments

Ms. Hinz Philippi: Just for the record we have no audience, so no audience comments. Are there any Supervisor's requests at this time?

NINTH ORDER OF BUSINESS Adjournment

Ms. Hinz Philippi: Not hearing any, I need a motion to adjourn.

> On MOTION by Mr. Folstein seconded by Ms. Litrenta with all in favor, the Meeting was adjourned.

DocuSigned by:
Andressa Hinz Philippi
87D36659F55A4C5...
Secretary / Assistant Secretary

Signed by:
Tim Gee
8Q2D6F3D7DC74F9...
Chairman / Vice Chairman

Certificate Of Completion

Envelope Id: E1BBCAD3-3295-811F-8259-014A464ABAC7 Status: Completed

Subject: Creekside: Complete with Docusign: 8-13-26 Minutes.pdf, Resolution 2027-01.docx, Resolution 2027-02.

Source Envelope:

Document Pages: 85 Signatures: 14 Envelope Originator:

Certificate Pages: 2 Initials: 0 Ellen Acosta

AutoNav: Enabled 1001 Bradford Way

EnvelopeId Stamping: Enabled Kingston, TN 37763

Time Zone: (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada) eacosta@gmssf.com

IP Address: 162.199.192.217

Record Tracking

Status: Original Holder: Ellen Acosta Location: DocuSign

11/18/2026 6:11:46 AM eacosta@gmssf.com

Signer Events	Signature	Timestamp
Andressa Hinz Philippi AHPhilippi@gmssf.com Assistant Secretary Security Level: Email, Account Authentication (None)	DocuSigned by: Andressa Hinz Philippi 87D36659F55A4C5... Signature Adoption: Pre-selected Style Using IP Address: 2601:58b:c00:2bc9:4121:9963:fd2:efbb	Sent: 11/18/2026 6:20:51 AM Viewed: 11/18/2026 7:40:23 AM Signed: 11/18/2026 7:40:50 AM

Electronic Record and Signature Disclosure:
Not Offered via Docusign

Tim Gee TGee@drhorton.com Chairman Security Level: Email, Account Authentication (None)	Signed by: Tim Gee 802D6F3D7DC74F9... Signature Adoption: Pre-selected Style Using IP Address: 136.226.59.85	Sent: 11/18/2026 6:20:50 AM Viewed: 11/18/2026 6:58:05 AM Signed: 11/18/2026 6:58:25 AM

Electronic Record and Signature Disclosure:
Not Offered via Docusign

In Person Signer Events	Signature	Timestamp

Editor Delivery Events	Status	Timestamp

Agent Delivery Events	Status	Timestamp

Intermediary Delivery Events	Status	Timestamp

Certified Delivery Events	Status	Timestamp

Carbon Copy Events	Status	Timestamp

Witness Events	Signature	Timestamp

Notary Events	Signature	Timestamp

Envelope Summary Events	Status	Timestamps
Envelope Sent	Hashed/Encrypted	11/18/2026 6:20:51 AM
Certified Delivered	Security Checked	11/18/2026 6:58:05 AM

Envelope Summary Events	Status	Timestamps
Signing Complete	Security Checked	11/18/2026 6:58:25 AM
Completed	Security Checked	11/18/2026 7:40:50 AM

Payment Events	Status	Timestamps